

**LAKWOOD TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE MEETING  
MINUTES  
AUGUST 11, 2005 • 7:30 P.M.**

The Lakewood Township Committee held a Meeting on Thursday, August 11, 2005 in the Lakewood Municipal Building, at 6:30 P.M. for the Executive Session and 7:30 P.M. for the Public Meeting, with the following present:

Mayor .....	Charles Cunliffe
Deputy Mayor .....	Meir Lichtenstein
Committee Members .....	Menashe Miller
	Absent .... Senator Robert Singer
	Raymond Coles
Municipal Manager .....	Frank Edwards
Municipal Attorney .....	Steven Secare
Municipal Clerk .....	Bernadette Standowski

**CLOSED SESSION**

Motion by Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein, second by Mr. Miller.  
Resolution No. 2005-336 – Adopted.

**SALUTE TO THE FLAG AND PRAYER**

Adequate notice of this meeting has been provided in accordance with the provisions of the Open Public meetings Act, N.J.S.A. 10:4-6, by Resolution of the Township Committee adopted January 2, 2005 and published in the Ocean County’s Observer on January 7, 2005.

**ROLL CALL**

Motion by Mr. Coles, second by Mr. Miller, and carried, to open the meeting.

**MOTION TO APPROVE MINUTES OF: 6/2/05**

Motion by Mr. Coles, second by Mr. Miller, to approve the above Minutes  
**On Roll Call** – Affirmative: Mr. Miller, Mr. Coles, Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein and Mayor Cunliffe.

Minutes approved.

## **MOTION TO APPROVE CLOSED SESSION MINUTES: 7/28/05**

Motion by Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein, second by Mr. Miller, to approve the above Closed Session Minutes.

**On Roll Call** – Affirmative: Mr. Miller, Mr. Coles, Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein.

Abstain: Mayor Cunliffe

Closed Session Minutes approved.

**PRESENTATIONS:** None

## **ORDINANCES FOR DISCUSSION:**

1. Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, establishing a procedure for containerized yard waste.
2. Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, establishing a procedure for the improper disposal of waste.
3. Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, establishing a procedure for illicit connections.
4. An Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, amending and supplementing Chapter III of the Code of the Township of Lakewood entitled Police Regulations. (Littering)
5. An Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, amending and supplementing Chapter V of the Code of the Township of Lakewood entitled Animal Control. (Definitions)

Mayor Cunliffe asked for a Motion to carry the above Ordinances for Discussion to the meeting of August 25, 2005.

Motion by Mr. Coles, second by Mr. Miller, and carried, to carry the above Ordinances to the Meeting of August 25, 2005.

Mayor Cunliffe also commented with regard to the Ordinance concerning the restrictions on where sex offenders can live within the town. The Committee would like to consider including the restriction that they not be permitted to live within 2500 feet of bus stops for children. He asked Mr. Secare about the legality of this type of Ordinance.

Mr. Secare advised that the power to do this comes under the general police powers of the municipality to regulate safety. It is his opinion that as long as those powers are used in a reasonable fashion they can be exercised properly. It would seem to him that the thought that the bus stops and the specific purposes for youth be protected would be a

reasonable exercise of police power. If you had a bus stop for that purpose on every block where it became impossible for someone to live in the Township, that would become an unreasonable exercise of police power. As it is proposed now, he believes it is a legitimate exercise of power.

Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein suggested that they take a look at the Ordinance that was recently passed in Brick.

Mr. Secare explained that Brick passed a similar Ordinance. The same provision would apply wherein if the Committee determines to pass this type of Ordinance, those who already live there would be grandfathered in, and would not be evicted.

Mayor Cunliffe asked for the Motion to request the Township Attorney prepare an amendment to the existing Ordinance for discussion at the meeting of August 25th.

Mr. Secare requested that the Township Clerk obtain a copy of the Brick Ordinance so they can see what areas are included.

Motion by Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein, second by Mr. Miller, and carried, to review the Brick Ordinance, and prepare an amendment to the existing Ordinance for first reading at the meeting of August 25, 2005.

Mayor Cunliffe reviewed quality of life items from the previous meeting.

Mr. Mack reported with regard to the Lexington Rest Home. The inspector found there were no safety or health violations to be found. An elevator was out of service, because it was an old elevator, and parts were hard to get. Parts have been ordered and it was repaired a few days after the inspector was there.

Mr. Mack further reported on the property on Ridge Avenue, which has also been handled.

Mr. Lines reported with regard to the sidewalk on Eighth Street where rotted trees had been taken down. It is something that the homeowner should repair. There is no capital program in that area this year.

Mr. Lines also reported on Route 88 and Park Avenue. He met with the DOT this week, and brought it to their attention that there had been thirty accidents at this intersection, and he is following up with a letter. He does not believe restriping or adding a lane at this point in time would help at this intersection.

Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein asked for an estimated time that they would receive a response from the State.

Mr. Lines explained he would check back with the State in about a month. They have to get the traffic data, and a consultant.

Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein asked that the Clerk put this matter back on the Agenda for the public session for the second meeting in September.

Mr. Lines reported on the matter of striping certain streets. Both Mr. Lines and Mr. Franklin are putting together a list of streets in the town that need striping to be submitted to the County under the Ocean County Cooperative Contract for striping.

Mayor Cunliffe commented with regard to the intersection of Fourth Street and Park Avenue. He asked Mr. Lines to take a look at it again. He is hearing that cars are getting hung up. It is not just the issue of people in wheelchairs not being able to safely cross the railroad track, but cars are getting stuck on the tracks.

Mr. Lines advised he would contact the railroad again. He did send them a letter indicating the need for handicap access. He will contact them again about the condition of the tracks.

Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein suggested that they put blacktop in there so someone does not drive over the tracks and get stuck when a train is coming.

Mr. Secare advised you can not do that because it is a railroad right-of-way.

Mayor Cunliffe stated this is a safety issue, if a car gets stuck on the tracks. He suggested that they need a response within forty-eight hours from the railroad.

Mr. Lines suggested that he draft a letter, to be sent by Mr. Secare. It may have a little more weight if it is sent by the Township Attorney.

Mr. Secare advised he would put them on notice that there is an existing liability issue, and that the Township should enlist the aid of Senator Singer on this matter.

Mr. Lines reported the good news about Central Avenue and Route 9. The DOT will add three seconds to the Central Avenue side of the traffic signal (remove three seconds from Route 9 and add them to Central Avenue side). What that does is every ninety seconds that the light changes....if you can get one more car out in three seconds, you actually end up with forty more cars being able to pass through that intersection every hour which will help alleviate some of the backup problem. The other thing they are going to do is change the timer there to a two minute timer, rather than a ninety second timer, so that the length that you get a green light is longer, so that once the people have accelerated, you actually get a few more cars through every hour.

Mr. Lines also reported on the matter of using cul-de-sacs as playgrounds. He looked into the matter for safety issues and emergency vehicles, and the fact that people do not pay attention to signs, he does not recommend they consider this. He feels it is too much of a legal issue, and it would ultimately be a problem for the Township.

Mr. Lines also reported he received some feedback from the residents on Caranetta Drive about making it a one-way street. Thirteen people responded; seven people are against it; six people are for it; and he did not hear from the other twenty-two families yet.

Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein suggested waiting to hear from the other twenty-two residents.

Mayor Cunliffe requested that they reach out to the people who have not responded.

**COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC** will be heard for a limit of one (1) hour. Each speaker will have four (4) minutes and shall be limited to one time at the Podium.

Lynn Celli, Patriots Way – Commented with regard to the safety issues in Seagull Square. Read a letter she received recently. Advised they have tried to get the new owner of Seagull Square to replace lights in front of the stores. There are thirteen lights out. That shopping center is plagued by crime, rapes, abductions, and drugs. She requested that the Township replace the lights and charge the new owners.

Mayor Cunliffe suggested they forward this recommendation to Mr. Mack and request that he follow up with the owners to see if they can get an immediate resolution and get the lights working.

Mrs. Celli also commented on a safety issue on Route 9. There is an orthodox school that has a fence by Atlantic Coast Rehab. Children are playing very close to Route 9. She is worried about their safety, and the safety of the cars.

Mayor Cunliffe asked Mr. Mack to look into this matter.

Elizabeth Cruz, Manager of Latino Express – Advised of an ongoing problem with unlicensed taxi cabs. She asked if the Township can do anything to help.

Mayor Cunliffe suggested this matter be reported to the Transportation Board.

Mina Shvarzblatt – Advised of a problem that exists on Clifton Avenue, mainly on Sundays, which could cause a deterioration of the quality of the downtown area. They own a business on Clifton Avenue. She has repeatedly observed people standing on street corners with pamphlets and large placards propped up against garbage cans, and shouting, soliciting, promoting something, which she considers to be harassment. There are also street vendors that do not have licenses selling flowers, and shaved ices, and there are certain food operations that are receiving shipments of pork that are being delivered to them in an unrefrigerated van, and carried down the block. She asked for the Committee's assistance in addressing these matters.

Mayor Cunliffe asked Mr. Mack and Director Peters to look into these matters.

Michael D'Elia, 27 Isabella Drive - Passed out an article from the Asbury Park Press to the Committee and advised that since that time he has received threatening calls from restricted numbers.

Mayor Cunliffe suggested he contact the Police Department with regard to the harassing phone calls.

David Drukaroff, 1433 Laurelwood Avenue – Read an article from the Asbury Park Press regarding sex offenders.

Joan Wood, Lakewood – Advised she has been sexually harassed in the downtown area, and they have even followed her home.

Mayor Cunliffe suggested she report the incidents to the Police Department. He asked Director Peters to look into this matter.

Rev. Kevin Nunn, LIA – Commented with regard to segregation.

Mayor Cunliffe advised he would be glad to meet with Rev. Nunn to go over any evidence he may have with regard to illegitimate activity or segregation issues.

Minister Nicole Hunter, Co-Chair of the LIA, Spirit of Truth Lakewood, 20 Fourth Street – Asked for a public apology in the press from Mayor Cunliffe regarding the basketball incident at the Community Center.

Mayor Cunliffe advised he did not make any comments at a public function with regard to the Community Center issue.

Minister Less Hunter – Commented with regard to segregation.

Mayor Cunliffe again offered to meet with him with regard to any evidence he may have.

Naftali Reich, 226 Lake Drive Terrace – Owns rental properties in Lakewood. He has received warnings from the Township regarding trash. Advised he has received two summonses in the past two weeks, requiring him to pay \$100.00 each for tenants putting out trash. Requested that the inspector send him a warning giving him time to comply before a summons is issued.

Mayor Cunliffe requested he give his contact information to the Clerk so that the information can be forwarded to Public Works.

Noreen Gill, 192 Coventry Drive – Advised that not only can you not shop in Lakewood, you can not travel on Clifton Avenue. Complained about the garbage and the cars and trucks being double-parked.

Mayor Cunliffe advised her comments will be forwarded to the department heads.

Eric Mench, 231 Third Street – Police Officer that was involved in recent incident. Thanked everyone for their support.

George Lindsey, PO Box 219, Lois Lane – Asked for information on submitting a petition for representation in Lakewood.

Mayor Cunliffe suggested he obtain source information from the library or the internet.

Bill Hobday, 30 Schoolhouse Lane – Commented on the construction project at the intersection of Route 9 and Pine Street. Complained about the traffic congestion due to this project. Asked how does the municipality go about getting the state's attention to widen Route 9 so there can be some kind of a decent traffic flow through that area.

Mayor Cunliffe advised they have, on several occasions, brought this to the attention of the County and State that Route 9 has to be widened. There is no money to do the project right now. At the Route 9-James Street-Pine Street intersection, there will be dedicated turning lanes, and they have committed to increase the shoulders where they can, increase the aspect ratio of the bad intersections, where traffic backs up; so they have agreed to do certain spot remedies. The total fix will require dualization, and the funding is not available at this time. But they have agreed to do spot projects up and down Route 9, to relieve some of the traffic congestion.

Seeing no one else wishing to be heard, Mayor Cunliffe closed the meeting to the public.

At this time, the professionals left the meeting.

## **CONSENT AGENDA**

The below listed items are considered to be routine by the Township of Lakewood and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no formal discussion of these items. If discussion is desired, this item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and will be considered separately.

1. Resolution authorizing Bingo and Raffle applications: American Legion Post 166, BPOE Lakewood Elks Lounge, O.C. College Alumni Association, New Wave Dance Club, Count Basie Theater Inc.  
Resolution No. 2005-337
2. Resolution authorizing the execution of an agreement with the County of Ocean for Municipal Alliance Funds pursuant to and in accordance with the Drug Enforcement Demand Reduction Act of 1987.  
Resolution No. 2005-338
3. Resolution authorizing an application to the New Jersey Commerce and Economic Grant for a revision of zone boundary of the Urban Enterprise Zone in the Township of Lakewood.  
Resolution No. 2005-339
4. Resolution releasing an escrow posted by Avrohom Zwelback for a project known as Block 159 Lots 11.01 and 11.02.  
Resolution No. 2005-340
5. Resolution releasing an escrow posted by Mikva Construction Company for a project known as Blocks 356 and 354 Lots 5 and 1  
Resolution No. 2005-341
6. Resolution releasing a Performance Guarantee posted by Parkview Homes, LLC, in connection with Block 248 Lots 5,6,7,9,11,12,13& 32.  
Resolution No. 2005-342

7. Resolution authorizing IN REM foreclosure on tax sale certificates as per attached Schedule A.  
Resolution No. 2005-343
8. Resolution adopting a Housing Rehabilitation Program Guidelines and authorizing the Mayor to execute the guidelines for use in the program.  
Resolution No. 2005-344

Motion by Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein, second by Mr. Miller, to approve Resolutions 1 through 8 on the Consent Agenda.

**On Roll Call** – Affirmative: Mr. Miller, Mr. Coles, Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein and Mayor Cunliffe.

Resolution Nos. 2005-337 through 2005-344 - Adopted.

## **RESOLUTION NOT INCLUDED ON THE CONSENT AGENDA.**

### **ORDINANCES SECOND READING**

An Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, amending and supplementing Chapter XVIII of the Code of the Township of Lakewood entitled the Lakewood Township Unified Development Ordinance of 2005.  
Read by title only for second reading.

Mayor Cunliffe opened the meeting to the public.

Alice Kelsey, 295B Malvern Court – Asked about the definition of affordable, as outlined in the Ordinance.

Mayor Cunliffe advised that the definition as indicated says that a dwelling unit for which a household pays with regard to a sale, so it is based on a sale. Just to determine what the term affordable means, would mean that not more than twenty-eight percent of the eligible gross monthly income would be used for the mortgage payments.

Mrs. Kelsey asked if there would be some units for sale at that level.

Mr. Coles answered they would try to have units available for sale and rental at that level. Many of the units will be subsidized at different levels.

Larry Simons, 7 Schoolhouse Court – Stated there are extensive changes listed in this Ordinance. Asked if there are any major changes from the original Ordinance that was adopted in July that would necessitate the resubmission of the original Ordinance with these new modifications.



Mayor Cunliffe advised he did refer this to the Attorney. He was not here last week, and this was carried. He reviewed some of the changes with Mr. Simons. Some of the changes are definition changes; some have been minor bulk requirement changes. The opinion of the Attorney was that the changes were not substantial enough to make them go back to first reading. They got recommendations from the UDO review, and from the Planning Board. Being that it did not affect a large majority, and only certain small portions, the Attorney deemed it was okay to continue with second reading.

Mr. Secare added that because the theme and the substance of the Ordinance was pretty clear, the changes that were made do not warrant a resubmission.

Mr. Simons stated that at the last meeting, someone from the audience asked if there was a way for the major changes to be identified, rather than having to go through the whole Ordinance.

Mayor Cunliffe stated that in the future, they will try to do red-line versions, which would identify the changes.

Gerry Ballwanz, Governors Road – Asked about the portion of the Ordinance that deals with the R-40 Zone. She thinks what is missing is the R-20 Zone. It says that you are doing R-40 with an R-20 cluster. To her that means it is only really in the R-40 Zone that you are doing this.

Mayor Cunliffe explained there is a zone on the Zoning Map that is R-40, or R-40 with an R-20 Cluster, meaning you can build, if you want to, R-40, or you can build R-40, with an R-20 Cluster. Cluster is defined many times throughout the UDO. What that means is that you do not get any more units than would have been afforded under the R-40 zoning, but you can cluster them as if the lots were R-20. The other thing they are adding to the R-40/R-20 is the affordable housing element.

Mrs. Ballwanz asked about permitted uses, which talks about a shopping center, commercial uses, public buildings, schools.....are they going to be on the land that they received from the Township, or will they be on extra land that will have to be purchased by the Developer to build a school or place of worship, or government or cultural building. Or is it really only houses on the land that the Township sold for a dollar.

Mayor Cunliffe explained that the intent of the transfer of the land was to transfer land to have houses built on. The intent of this Ordinance is to talk about the permitted uses in that wide swath of land. So what they are saying, in that wide swath of land, that is listed as R-40/R-20 Cluster, and there are tons of more acres back there, these are permitted principal uses.

Mrs. Ballwanz confirmed that the land purchased from the Township for a dollar, only affordable housing can be built on it. The other land in the zone, which may have to be purchased at a public sale.....are you selling land for a dollar that a neighborhood commercial use can be put on....????

Mayor Cunliffe stated that the only exception is that in a planned development, just so that you have support services for that development, no more than ten percent of that tract could be used for support services, such as retail stores and services.

Mrs. Ballwanz again asked if a store will be built on land that was purchased for a portion of a dollar.

Mayor Cunliffe stated he was not sure if that was going to happen.

Mr. Edwards stated that the restrictions on the property, when it was transferred, said that it had to be used for residential purposes, because you can not donate land to someone, under state law, to build retail uses.

Mayor Cunliffe stated that Mr. Edwards is correct. This is the general bulk requirement and permitted use for the entire piece of land that is now called R-40/R-20 Cluster. Mr. Edwards is correct. In the actual transfer of land, there is a Deed, and restrictions, etc., and that land is protected by those individual documents and instruments.

Mrs. Ballwanz further commented that under permitted uses, as to public sewer and water, single family was not included.

Mayor Cunliffe answered that was probably a typographical error, and he would have the Attorney look at it. It probably should say, multi-family, single family.

Mrs. Ballwanz further commented with regard to tract developments minimum requirements, residential unit distribution.....at a minimum two of the permitted housing types shall be provided. Does that mean that in each of the three different tracts that were sold to the three non-profits, that each of those three projects have to have more than one housing type.

Mayor Cunliffe answered that is what it says. And the Ordinance was sent to the three organization so they would look at that. And that is not a change, that was in the Ordinance from day one.

Mrs. Ballwanz added that when STEPS originally got the land from the Township, they said they only wanted single family.

Mayor Cunliffe agreed they did say that. But this Ordinance was sent to them.

Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein advised that after the last meeting, one of the reasons that this Ordinance was tabled was specifically for that reason. Mr. Corsaro, from STEPS, had stated that he had an issue with that, and that is why the Ordinance was sent out. That is why they made sure it was sent out. He is hoping, and assumes, that they have reviewed it.

Mayor Cunliffe advised he saw an e-mail from Stanley Schlaetka, that went to STEPS, and this issue was sent to them.

Mrs. Ballwanz stated she would think that it would be more advantageous to be able to have at least two different housing types within each of the three non-profit housing developments.

Mrs. Ballwanz continued with reference to the maximum gross density of twenty-two dwelling units per acre. She thinks that it should be written that within each housing type the townhouse, the duplex, the single family.....they could put in twenty-two townhouses, if you are going by this interpretation.

Mayor Cunliffe answered that the way they do the UDO, which this is going to be a part of, everything is called a dwelling unit, and if you look at the definition of a dwelling unit, this mirrors that, and because they will be allowed to build on smaller pieces of land, so they can get more houses, and make them more affordable, they have agreed to do twenty-two dwelling units per acre in this section only. And it is by the term and definition of dwelling unit as it appears in the new UDO.

Mrs. Ballwanz asked if the townhouses would have legal basement apartments that can be rented out.

Mayor Cunliffe stated it is all dwelling units, and a dwelling unit is specifically identified in the UDO.

Mr. Coles advised that in the past you might have been able to buy a home with a basement that could be finished and rented. In these units, those basements, if there are basements, are going to be finished and sold separately. They are not going to be available for someone to rent them out. That is what constitutes a duplex, whether side by side, or up and down.

Mrs. Ballwanz again asked that in the places that have basements, will it state specifically that it can not be rented out.

Mr. Edwards added that regardless of where the space is in the particular structure, if it meets all the criteria for being a separate dwelling unit, then it counts as a separate dwelling unit.

Mr. Coles added that these units will be regulated by a group overseeing them. It is illegal for people to rent out that space under the \_\_\_\_\_ guidelines and they could risk losing their unit. It is not going to happen. These units are not being built for people to rent them out so that they can afford to live in a nicer home. They are trying to make them available for people who otherwise may never be able to afford something, and they are going to have to live with the restriction that if there is a basement, for their own family, there will be no renting them out. And more than likely, they will be sold as separate units and they can increase the maximum number of people that will benefit from this project.

Mrs. Ballwanz stated that she feels that twenty-two units per acre may be too high of a density.

Mrs. Ballwanz continued with regard to multi-family structures, the minimum average floor area for a dwelling unit shall be eleven hundred square feet. Does that meet the definition of a three bedroom apartment.

Mayor Cunliffe stated that was the minimum of a dwelling unit. It doesn't talk about two bedroom or three bedroom. They had to establish a minimum size, and that comes from the Planner.

Seeing no one else wishing to be heard, the hearing on this Ordinance was closed to the public.

The above Ordinance was offered by Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein, second by Mr. Miller.

**On Roll Call** – Affirmative: Mr. Miller, Mr. Coles, Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein and Mayor Cunliffe.

Ordinance No. 2005-77 adopted on second reading.

An Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, amending and supplementing Chapter VIII of the Code of the Township of Lakewood entitled Parks, Playgrounds and Recreational Areas. (Feeding of Water Fowl)  
Read by title only for second reading.

Motion by Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein, second by Mr. Miller, to carry the above Ordinance to August 25, 2005.

**On Roll Call** – Affirmative: Mr. Miller, Mr. Coles, Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein and Mayor Cunliffe.

Second reading and public hearing on Ordinance No. 2005-78 carried to the meeting of August 25, 2005.

## **ORDINANCES FIRST READING** (2nd Reading and Public Hearing 8/25/05)

An Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, authorizing the sale of Block 340, Lot 1, in the Township of Lakewood, at a private sale pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:12-1 et seq. (Vernon, Northfield & Eden)  
Read by title only for first reading.

The above Ordinance died due to lack of Motion.

An Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, vacating all right, title and interest of a portion of Garfield Street indicated in Section Two of this Ordinance in the Township of Lakewood, pursuant to and in accordance with N.J.S.A. 40:67-1 et seq.

Read by title only for first reading.

The above Ordinance was offered by Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein, second by Mr. Miller.

**On Roll Call** – Affirmative: Mr. Miller, Mr. Coles, Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein and Mayor Cunliffe.

Ordinance No. 2005-79 adopted on first reading. Second reading and public hearing to be held on August 25, 2005.

Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, authorizing the sale of Lot 1 in Block 842 in the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, at open public sale pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:12-1 et seq. (Midwood, Funston, Caryl)

Read by title only for first reading.

The above Ordinance was offered by Mr. Coles, second by Mr. Miller.

**On Roll Call** – Affirmative: Mr. Miller, Mr. Coles, Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein and Mayor Cunliffe.

Ordinance No. 2005-80 adopted on first reading. Second reading and public hearing to be held on August 25, 2005.

An Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, authorizing the exchange of certain lands within the Township of Lakewood, in particular, the Township of Lakewood to acquire Block 795 Lot 2, Block 815 Lot 7, Block 816 Lot 3, Block 1006 Lot 2, Block 1010 Lot 5, Block 1012 Lot 5, Block 1024 Lot 1 and Block 1143 Lot 9, and to convey Block 433 Lot 3, Block 1025 Lot 1, Block 1026 Lot 1, Block 1027 Lot 8, Block 1032 Lot 3, Block 1036 Lot 5, Block 1038 Lot 3, and Block 1045 Lot 2 as part of an exchange of lands pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:12-16. (Edgecomb, Wadsworth, Bradhurst, Park, Broadway, Princewood)

Read by title only for first reading.

The above Ordinance was offered by Mr. Miller, second by Mr. Coles.

**On Roll Call** – Affirmative: Mr. Miller, Mr. Coles, Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein and Mayor Cunliffe.

Ordinance No. 2005-81 adopted on first reading. Second reading and public hearing to be held on August 25, 2005.

## **CORRESPONDENCE**

Letter from Linda Bonfiglio, Human Resources Manager of Graco/Gusmer notifying the Township of a substantial lay-off of workers at their Lakewood facility effective October 3, 2005.

Memo from William Rieker, Chief Financial Officer, notifying the governing body of notes sold.

Motion by Mr. Coles, second by Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein, and carried, that the above correspondence is received and filed.

## **PARKS AND EVENTS CORRESPONDENCE**

Per schedule of three (3) items, attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Motion by Mr. Coles, second by Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein, and carried, to approve the above request.

## **MOTION TO APPROVE BILL LIST OF: 8/9/05**

Motion by Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein, second by Mr. Miller.

**On Roll Call** – Affirmative: Mr. Coles, Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein and Mayor Cunliffe.  
Negative: Mr. Miller

Bill List approved.

## **COMMENTS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS**

Mayor Cunliffe commented it was not such a pretty night. There were a lot of things that were said they he personally takes great issue with. Their style is not to shut people down, and not to stop anyone from practicing their free speech. Please don't confuse that with the Committee supporting everything that is said at the dais.

## **ADJOURNMENT**

Motion by Deputy Mayor Lichtenstein, second by Mr. Miller, and carried, to adjourn the meeting. Meeting adjourned at 9:20 P.M.