

The Lakewood Township Committee held a Meeting on Thursday, November 19, 2009 in the Lakewood Municipal Building, at 6:30 PM for the Executive Session, and 7:30 PM for the Public Meeting, with the following present:

Mayor..... Robert Singer
Deputy Mayor..... Steven Langert
Committee Members..... Menashe Miller
Raymond Coles
Meir Lichtenstein
Municipal Manager..... Frank Edwards
Municipal Attorneys..... Lawrence E. Bathgate, II, Esq.
Jan L. Wouters, Esq.
Municipal Clerk..... Mary Ann Del Mastro

Adequate notice of this meeting has been provided in accordance with the provisions of the Open Public Meetings Act, N.J.S.A. 10:4-6, by Resolution of the Township Committee adopted January 1, 2009 and published in the Asbury Park Press on January 8, 2009.

ROLL CALL

CLOSED SESSION
Resolution No. 2009-353 – Adopted.

ROLL CALL

SALUTE TO THE FLAG AND PRAYER

OPEN SESSION

MOTION TO APPROVE MINUTES OF: 10/29/09
Motion by Mr. Coles, second by Deputy Mayor Langert, and carried, to approve the above Minutes.

MOTION TO APPROVE CLOSED SESSION MINUTES: 11/05/09
Motion by Deputy Mayor Langert, second by Mr. Coles, and carried, to approve the above Closed Session Minutes.

PRESENTATIONS

Richard J. Morgan Foundation

Mr. Lichtenstein offered a Proclamation, naming a portion of a street in honor of a longtime Lakewood employee, Richie Morgan.

Ervin Oross – Energy Grant Update

Mr. Cross addressed the Committee with regard to the Energy Block Grant that the Township has received from the Federal Government in the amount of \$678,200.00 to perform various energy upgrades on Municipal properties and structures. They are presently preparing an application for an energy audit, which is available through the New Jersey BPU which will determine what systems, structures, and overall improvements to the existing facilities in Lakewood would need upgrades, inclusive of solar energy.

Colin Lewis – Weed and Seed Grant

Mr. Lewis addressed the Committee with regard to the Weed and Seed Grant, which is a million dollar grant that the Township of Lakewood potentially may receive. It is gang prevention grant, but more so it is an opportunity to involve the young people and adults in positive activities, to deter them away from destructive activities. This is more than a grant; they have work to do as a community. The goal of this grant is for the youth and residents to stop their gang involvement and change mindsets towards positive goals.

ORDINANCES FOR DISCUSSION: None

Comments from the public will be heard for a limit of one (1) hour. Each speaker will have four (4) minutes and shall be limited to one time at the podium.

Mayor Singer opened the meeting to the public.

Bernard Laufgus – Commented with regard to the appointment of the Municipal Court Judge.

Larry Simons, 7 Schoolhouse Court – Commented with regard to Resolution No. 10 concerning emergency notes; the position of Tax Assessor; and requested an update with regard to the Option Agreement with Cedarbridge Development Corporation.

Mr. Edwards offered an explanation with regard to the emergency notes. What this is attempting to do as a result of tax appeals this year, four thousand five hundred tax appeals, the Township has a serious financial problem as a result of the monies that were to be paid back as per judgments of the Ocean County Tax Board. And then there are some additional appeals that have not as yet been heard at the State level. What would happen is that would come right off the Township's surplus at the end of the year and the surplus would be basically non-existent; the Township would have to make up funds that in the past they have taken from surplus to put towards the Budget for the following year. This Resolution is the first step in the process; what it does is that the dollar that is identified is for known judgments in the tax appeal process, both County and State. This emergency appropriation Resolution, if adopted, goes to the Local Finance Board, the Director of Local Government Services, and becomes a deferred

charge against the Township's Budget and the Township gets notes, and the notes will fund the shortfall that the Township would normally get when the surplus comes in and gets hit by the tax appeals. Then in the beginning of next year, the Township will go to the Local Government Finance Board and seek tax appeal refund notes, and depending how the Local Government Finance Board grants, you can have anywhere from three to five to seven years to pay back that debt, or the note installments. And then when you do that, that pays off the emergency appropriation. It takes it off the Township's books; it takes it out of the collection rate and therefore the Township does not have to put an enormous amount of additional monies into reserve for uncollected taxes next year.

Mayor Singer advised that as far as the Tax Assessor position goes, the screening committee did interview seven Assessors, all were certified, and are practicing Assessors for a number of years. They did select one candidate, and that candidate was interviewed by the Committee this evening. The announcement can not be made until he notifies his employer.

As to the negotiations on the Option Agreement with Cedarbridge Development Corporation, Mr. Bathgate advised that they have met with the attorneys for Cedarbridge, and they have been in discussions, but the matter has not been resolved as of yet.

Mike McNeill, 201 Lincoln Street – Commented with regard to this evening's presentation on the Weed and Seed Grant. Also commented with regard to the matter of the out-of-town individual being dropped off in Lakewood.

Noreen Gill, 192 Coventry Drive – Commented with regard to the emergency appropriation Resolution concerning tax appeals. Also commented on the Smart Growth Plan.

Alice Kelsey, 295B Malvern Court East – Congratulated the Township Committee on the Weed and Seed Program. Also commented with regard to Smart Growth, and the traffic problems in the downtown area.

Joe Kirsch, 164 Skyline Drive – Spoke in opposition to the proposed acceptance of the Smart Growth Plan.

Bill Hobday, 30 Schoolhouse Lane – Commented with regard to the State's financial crisis.

Marilyn Fontinetta, 1189B Clydebank Court – Spoke in opposition to the adoption of the proposed Smart Growth Plan.

Charlie Cunliffe, Jennifer Drive – Asked if Resolution No. 5 is approved this evening, will that send the Smart Growth Plan as it was written and presented to the Committee directly to the State, will it send an amended plan, what exactly will that Resolution do.

Mayor Singer answered they will send an amended plan. Prior to voting on it, they will go over all the amendments this evening.

Bruno Devink, Senior Vice President of the Lightstone Group, 1985 Cedarbridge Ave. – Spoke in support of the approval of the Smart Growth Plan.

Gerry Ballwanz, Governors Road – Commented with regard to the land on Cross Street owned by the Lightstone Group. Also commented on the Resolution regarding the Job Link Program.

Mayor Singer advised that the funding for the Job Link Program was for the bus transportation.

Ms. Ballwanz spoke in opposition to the approval of the Smart Growth Plan this evening.

Brian Flannery, 590 Atlantic Avenue – Spoke in favor of the approval of the Smart Growth Plan.

Fred Gincel, 144 Carasaljo Drive – Commented with regard to the adoption of the Smart Growth Plan.

Colin Lewis – Commented on homeless issues, and the placement and care of the individuals during the Winter months.

Seeing no one else wishing to be heard, Mayor Singer closed the meeting to the public.

Mr. Lichtenstein commented with regard to Smart Growth. They heard comments from a room full of people at the hearing and a lot of valid suggestions were made, starting with infrastructure. There was some misconception about the growth rate as well as some other areas. It was suggested that Mr. Slachetka create a FAQ list, which will be posted on the website. He believes the plan that the Committee will vote on this evening has been amended to take into consideration many of the comments that were heard at the hearing.

Mr. Slachetka addressed the Committee and the public as to the amendments.

Mr. Slachetka advised that the plan, if approved by the Committee, will be posted on the website, as well as the list of the accepted changes to the plan, and the list of Frequently Asked Questions. At the public hearings, there were very extensive comments on the proposed plan. As a result of that, some key changes and clarifications were incorporated into the plan. First of which, with regard to the projected growth, the plan makes it very clear that they are talking about a twenty year time frame, that the estimates are general based upon the actual growth that is occurring in population now. A lot of the information in the plan in terms of the number of housing units, the population growth, the revised plan makes it very clear that there are limits to growth. In particular, over the course of the next twenty year time frame,

that the ability for Lakewood Township to accept all that growth will be impacted by a variety of issues. Language and clarification has been inserted into the plan that talks about that specifically. As to infrastructure, and specifically about transportation infrastructure, and the condition of the roadways as they exist now, and whether or not the roadway system would have the capacity to support the growth moving forward. The Plan was revised to make it clear that there was a need for transportation improvements in a phased and ongoing way to be able to support and implement the provisions of the Smart Growth Plan. There is language that identifies the improvements to Route 9 as a number one transportation priority in the Township. The Township is currently working with the DOT to implement the improvements. As soon as the Township receives Plan Endorsement, the Township can expect further financial and technical support to assist the Township in improving Route 9. In addition, there will be other improvements based on the Smart Growth Plan to close gaps in roadways, to provide transit opportunities, and other ways through the Smart Growth Planning process to alleviate the traffic impacts on the Township's roads. Also, in the revised Plan, the concept they called transportation hubs, that has been removed from the Plan. They wanted to make it clear that what they were talking about was not new train stations, new bus stations throughout the Township, but in those areas that have been identified as centers, cores, and Smart Growth corridors; what they are trying to do is as development occurs, make sure that development is transit friendly. They also clarified, in terms of regional transportation, and specifically the proposed MOM rail line, that the preferred location for the train station is in the downtown. The previous plan talked about a possible location near the Prospect/Cross Street core, and that has been removed from the Plan, however, the Plan does state that depending upon growth, and depending upon whether or not the downtown site is determined to be suitable by the various State agencies, the Township may look at other alternatives. Such an alternative would not be located in the Prospect/Cross Street core. They also incorporated changes in the downtown circulation plan, and parking plan, some changes that address concerns that Georgian Court University had about the Plan, although, they were supportive of the proposed Smart Growth Plan. With regards to infrastructure overall, they made it very clear that there is a need to phase in and finance and support infrastructure improvements as the Plan is implemented over the twenty year time frame. That infrastructure is community infrastructure as well as the hard infrastructure such as transportation, roadway networks, transit, and water and sewer. They heard some concerns to make sure that as the Plan moves forward, and growth occurs, that there is community support, community facilities, and a social support for the population as it grows over time. They also had some clarifications as to sewer capacity in the regional sewer system, which are mostly technical changes. There were very significant changes that are part of the new revised Plan with regards to the Prospect/Cross Street core. Specifically, with regards to road access, they made it very clear in the revised Plan that there are not going to be any new roadways or vehicular access from Cross Street into the Cross Street core, along the area where the mixed uses are proposed. With regards to the buffer along Cross Street, they made it very clear that the buffer they were talking about was a minimum buffer and that they had the opportunity to increase that buffer as they may provide for additional clustering and reduction of development densities near Cross Street and moving those densities closer to Prospect

Street. The intent of the Plan for that core is to create a transition of lower densities, a buffer area, and higher mixed use areas further towards Prospect Street. They also removed the school sites from the Plan because they were not really specifically identifying those as zones for schools. The Plan does encourage where schools are to be located, proximate to the park area that is located within the central area of the core. With regards to economic growth and sustainability, they also added language in at various locations to make it clear that it is a Plan about all types of development. The Plan provides opportunities for reinvestment in the downtown, revitalization and new business within the downtown, proposes within the core and center areas opportunities for new ratables, new non-residential development that is necessary. The constraints they are all facing in terms of growth in the existing commercial areas are impacting the Township's tax ratable base, and this is an opportunity to implement a process of economic growth and sustainability within the Township. They have also included some additional narrative within the section dealing with the environmental preservation strategy to further describe what the maps explain. There will be a specific list of the revisions and a copy of the revised Plan posted on the Township's website.

Deputy Mayor Langert commented with regard to the Smart Growth Plan. He knows that the Township has worked on this for many years, and he is happy to be able to support this Plan. He commended Mayor Singer for the direction he gave Mr. Slachetka, and for his openness to listen to the comments from the public; their comments were taken into consideration, and were built in the amended Plan. He further commented that just because they do not adopt a Plan, does not mean that the construction would stop. This Plan takes out the hodge-podge of construction that is going on, and will put it into some type of order. As to the Industrial Park, they are presently in danger of losing employers and tenants who are handcuffed by CAFRA regulations, and if they do not adopt this plan, they are potentially looking at losing tenants without being able to bring new businesses into the Industrial Park. For that reason only, he feels they have to pass this Plan.

Deputy Mayor Langert further commended Mr. Edwards on the emergency appropriation, because if this was not being done, the Township would probably be facing bankruptcy.

CONSENT AGENDA

The items listed below are considered to be routine by the Township of Lakewood and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no formal discussion of these items. If discussion is desired, this item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and will be considered separately.

- 1. Resolution authorizing the Renewal of Towing Licenses for the Year 2009-2010.**
Mr. Lichtenstein removed this Resolution from the Consent Agenda.
- 2. Resolution amending Lakewood Township Resolution adopted September 3, 2009 in the amount of \$66,000.00 for submission to the**

**New Jersey Urban Enterprise Zone Authority for UEZ Project funding for Professional Services associated with preparation and submission for Land Development Phase I – Environmental Assessment. (UEZ – Environmental Assessment – Land Dev. Phase I)
Resolution No. 2009-354**

- 3. Resolution authorizing a Person-to-Person Transfer of Plenary Retail Consumption License No. 1514-36-047-001. (Parkway Hotel LLC to Parkway Lodging Realty LLC)
Resolution No. 2009-355**
- 4. Resolution authorizing a Person-to-Person Transfer of Plenary Retail Consumption License No. 1514-33-035-007. (Shalini Reddy Duvvuru to JK Mart Inc)
Resolution No. 2009-356**
- 5. Resolution to adopt the Lakewood Smart Growth Plan and Submission of the Plan to the State Planning Commission. (Smart Growth Plan)
Mr. Lichtenstein removed this Resolution from the Consent Agenda.**
- 6. Resolution awarding a Contract to Mid-Atlantic Waste Systems for an Open Ended Contract to Purchase Heil Refuse Equipment Parts pursuant to and in accordance with N.J.S.A. 40A:11-1 Et Seq.
Resolution No. 2009-357**
- 7. Resolution extending a Leave of Absence, without pay, for Christine Lappas, from December 1, 2009 to February 28, 2010.
Resolution No. 2009-358**
- 8. Resolution authorizing the Insertion of a Special item of Revenue into the 2009 Municipal Budget for UEZ funding for Lakewood Job Link Program – Year 14, in the amount of \$426,220.00. (Chapter 159 – UEZ –Job Link Year 14)
Resolution No. 2009-359**
- 9. Resolution supporting NJ Hand, Inc.'s Application for and Accept Neighborhood Preservation Balanced Housing Funds. (NJ Hand – Lakewood Commons II)
Resolution No. 2009-360**

10. **Resolution authorizing Emergency Appropriations and petitioning the Director of Local Government Services for permission to exceed the statutory limitation of 3%, in the amount of \$2,880,872.00.
Resolution No. 2009-361**
11. **Resolution authorizing an Agent to act on behalf of the Township of Lakewood with respect to the submission of applications to the NJDEP for the Freshwater Wetlands General Permit.
Resolution No. 2009-362**
12. **Resolution authorizing the Township of Lakewood to release a property Maintenance Lien for property known as Block 1248.13, Lot 10, in the amount of \$300.00.
Resolution No. 2009-363**
13. **Resolution releasing a Performance Guarantee posted by Bnos Orechos Chaim, in connection with application SP #1915, Block 1087, Lot 15, in the amount of \$23,320.00.
Resolution No. 2009-364**
14. **Resolution authorizing the Creation of the Downtown Merchants Commission.
Resolution No. 2009-365**

Motion by Deputy Mayor Langert, second by Mr. Lichtenstein, to approve Resolution Nos. 2 through 4 and 6 through 14 on the Consent Agenda.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Mr. Lichtenstein, Mr. Coles, Mr. Miller, Deputy Mayor Langert and Mayor Singer.

Mr. Lichtenstein did not vote on Resolution No. 3

Resolution Nos. 2009-354 through 2009-365 – Adopted.

Resolution Nos. 1 and 5 that were removed from the Consent Agenda were discussed and acted upon as follows:

1. **Resolution authorizing the Renewal of Towing Licenses for the Year 2009-2010.**

Mr. Lichtenstein offered a Motion to approve the Towing Licenses as suggested by the Police Department but he wanted to make it clear that they might be making some changes in the Towing Ordinance and he wants the Ordinance to reflect that when the Towers are re-licensed that they know that in advance, and accept their license under those conditions. A second to the Motion was offered by Mr. Miller.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Mr. Lichtenstein, Mr. Coles, Mr. Miller, Deputy Mayor Langert and Mayor Singer.

Resolution No. 2009-366 – Adopted.

5. Resolution to adopt the Lakewood Smart Growth Plan and Submission of the Plan to the State Planning Commission. (Smart Growth Plan)

Motion by Mr. Lichtenstein, second by Deputy Mayor Langert, as amended and presented by the Planner.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Mr. Lichtenstein, Mr. Coles, Mr. Miller, Deputy Mayor Langert and Mayor Singer.

Resolution No. 2009-367

ORDINANCE SECOND READING

Bond Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, in the County of Ocean, New Jersey, providing for Various Capital Improvements and other related expenses in and for the Township of Lakewood and Appropriating \$1,774,846.00 therefor, and providing for the issuance of \$1,690,328.00 in General Improvement Bonds or Notes of the Township of Lakewood to finance the same.

Read by title only for second reading.

Mayor Singer opened the meeting to the public. Seeing no one wishing to be heard, the hearing on this Ordinance was closed to the public.

The above Ordinance was offered by Deputy Mayor Langert, second by Mr. Coles.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Mr. Lichtenstein, Mr. Coles, Mr. Miller, Deputy Mayor Langert and Mayor Singer.

Ordinance No. 2009-65 adopted on second reading.

An Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, amending and supplementing Chapter XI (Traffic), Section 11-12A (No Stopping, No Standing) and Schedule XI-A (No Stopping, No Standing) of the Code of the Township of Lakewood. (No Stopping, No Standing – Cross St. & Mass Ave. Southerly – both sides)

Read by title only for second reading.

Mayor Singer opened the meeting to the public.

Adam Pfeffer, Esq., on behalf of Yeshiva _____, a boys high school, 1536 Massachusetts Avenue – Advised that his clients have requested that this Ordinance be tabled on second reading for the reason that, they are definitely all for safety, but the issue is that they have limited parking on their site. They would like to meet with the Engineer, and possibly the County Engineer, as both of these roads are County roads, to see if they need to add additional parking to their site. They would like some additional time.

Bill Hobday, 30 Schoolhouse Lane – Advised this is a safety issue. He would hope that the Township Committee would pass this Ordinance this evening as it is necessary and needed. As an advocate, the reason there is inadequate planning is because they avoided the Planning Board, which would have ensured it. That mistake should not put the people that live in that area in jeopardy because of people parking on the shoulder,

trying to find parking spaces, which puts us all at risk, including them, and he hopes it passes tonight.

Noreen Gill, 192 Coventry Drive – She feels it is in a very bad place; she has been out at that that house several times.

Seeing no one else wishing to be heard, the hearing on this Ordinance was closed to the public.

Mr. Miller explained that when this Ordinance had its first reading, he was very much for it as it is a safety issue, and he is still for it for safety issues. He does want to hear from the Attorney on what grounds is the school asking for it to be pushed off.

Mr. Pfeffer explained that the school has approximately thirty students there; obviously they have teachers and staff that has to come to the site, and they do have existing parking on the site. Currently, on most evenings, the majority of the students have one on one study with a partner, which now entails approximately another fifteen to twenty cars that come to that area. If you take this parking away, the school is going to have a problem since they have to have parking for the staff. They are all for safety also, and they are willing to work with everybody. They would just like a little bit of time to meet with the Engineers to see where they can add the parking onto their current site.

Mr. Miller asked if they are looking into making additional parking lots.

Mr. Pfeffer answered that he could not answer that without having a meeting with the Engineers. They are all for it, if it is available, they are willing to meet and work it out. He is not sure if it will be this Ordinance in a different form, but they are willing to work with everybody in that sense.

Mr. Miller asked if they had any time frame for when they want to set this meeting up.

Mr. Pfeffer answered in approximately eight weeks, so they can meet with their Engineer, set up a meeting with the County, and go forward from there.

Mr. Edwards added that even if the Committee adopts this Ordinance this evening, it still is contingent upon the County adopting a prohibition of its own. So it will not be approved until the County approves it because it is a County road. The County Engineers will be reviewing it.

Mr. Pfeffer stated that his only comment would be that if they are going to change the layout of their parking facility now, if it comes out on a County road, they will have to meet with the County, and he wants to do it all at once, as opposed to approving it now, then meeting with the County.

Mr. Hobday stated he interviewed the school and they had assured him that there would be no more than two cars on the premises on any time. The students were to be

transported by their van in the morning, and transported home by the same van in the afternoon, thereby having no traffic issues at all.

Mr. Coles stated that they do have a letter from Traffic & Safety that this is an issue, and that is his main concern, that is why they are acting on it now, and he would feel horrible if they put this off, and something were to happen out there after they were warned that it is a serious situation.

Mr. Miller stated that at the same point in time, and again, he is all for safety, and he voted on it last time, but after hearing the professional come forward asking to meet, and at the last meeting one of their primary concerns was if anyone reached out to the school so that they can work on this together, and the Committee was guilty of not actually notifying the school in advance, and he personally went down there to notify them together with Mr. Lichtenstein. And again, he is all for this Ordinance, and he is very much on top of safety, but he would just like to grant them the ability to meet with the professionals, to meet with the County Engineers, and do this in a way that does not look like they are ramming something down their throat. But everyone feels comfortable that this is being done for safety, and not any alternative reasons.

Deputy Mayor Langert asked Mr. Miller if in the last two weeks, when the school knew this was coming up, what has the school done to rectify the problem of on-street parking. They had two weeks to meet with Engineers, bring the Township plans and show some type of parking lot that they are recommending to put on their site. Have they done anything in the past two weeks to show the Township that there is any reason to believe that they will do it in the next eight weeks.

Mr. Pfeffer stated that he had indicated the clients have reached out to his office, and they have discussed it, and they are trying to schedule a meeting with an Engineer to go forward with this. They are on this, and if this happens tonight, they will start working on this again as soon as possible.....set up the meetings with the Engineers.

Deputy Mayor Langert asked Mr. Pfeffer if he would be comfortable telling him that if he was to push this off for two weeks, that within two weeks time they would have some type of Engineering drawing showing how they were going to alleviate the parking.

Mr. Pfeffer answered that he would try his best to work with the Engineer, try his best with his client to set up the meetings. He can try his best to set up a meeting with his Engineers, he can not guarantee what will happen with the County Engineer, but they are willing to work with them.

Mayor Singer asked if they were to carry this until the next meeting, would they at least be able to come back with something in writing to the Committee with some idea as to what is going on, and what the plan is.

Mr. Pfeffer answered yes.

Mr. Lichtenstein agreed. That is what he was going to say. They can't push this off indefinitely, but if they come back in two weeks, and the Committee is satisfied that there is progress being made....coming from a safety prospective as they are all concerned about safety, his feeling is that if they suddenly do not allow parking there, there will be parking in other locations in the neighborhood. They are not talking about pushing this off forever, and this Ordinance has to pass, but if they give a little bit of time for the school to put their vehicles in a safe place, that makes sense, as opposed to having people walk along the roadways. He does not know where the next legal parking spot is. It does not seem how that is going to be any safer, or the residents of the senior villages would appreciate having to drive and watch people walking up and down the road. He would support Mayor Singer, and ask that they push it off by two weeks, but in two weeks they have to feel there has been some significant progress and the school is making arrangements for a safe place to put the vehicles. Then they can move it up to the County.

Deputy Mayor Langert disagreed with Mr. Lichtenstein. If they pass this tonight, and the County acts on it right away, there is no where for them to park their cars. If they bring ten people in a night, it is a logistical nightmare. There is no parking garage out there, they are not going to park in Fairways and walk over, he does not know where they are going to park. He personally agreed with Mr. Coles, and with Mr. Hobday. According to the Police Department, it is a traffic and safety nightmare. Unless they have concrete plans in two weeks from now showing him where those cars are parking on site, he will vote to have no parking out there.

Mr. Pfeffer stated they will meet with their Engineers, and see what they can do to alleviate any of that parking, and try their best to meet with the County Engineers, but he can not guarantee that. But if they at least come to the Committee and show they are working with something, they would like to then go meet with the County.

Deputy Mayor Langert stated that working with something is not going to be acceptable to him. He wants to see it done. It is his intention to vote to pass this Ordinance on the 3rd of December if he does not have something concrete.

Motion by Deputy Mayor Langert, second by Mr. Miller, that the above Ordinance be carried for two weeks.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Mr. Lichtenstein, Mr. Miller, Deputy Mayor Langert and Mayor Singer.

Negative: Mr. Coles

Second reading and public hearing on Ordinance No. 2009-66 carried to the meeting of December 3, 2009.

Mr. Hobday stated that the Police Department, the County, everybody who inspected that, in fact, the Safety Division, said that was a safety hazard. It is an accident waiting to happen. He stated he can't imagine that it would be allowed to go two more weeks.

An Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New

Jersey, amending and supplementing Chapter XI (Traffic), Section 11-8 (Left Turn Prohibitions) and Schedule IX (Left Turn Prohibitions) of the Code of the Township of Lakewood. (Repeal/Delete Lexington Ave. @ Main St./Rte. 88 southbound between the hrs. of 7:00 AM and 7:00 PM)

Read by title only for second reading.

Mayor Singer opened the meeting to the public. Seeing no one wishing to be heard, the hearing on this Ordinance was closed to the public.

The above Ordinance was offered by Deputy Mayor Langert, second by Mr. Lichtenstein.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Mr. Lichtenstein, Mr. Coles, Mr. Miller, Deputy Mayor Langert and Mayor Singer.

Ordinance No. 2009-67 adopted on second reading.

ORDINANCE FIRST READING (Second reading and Public Hearing 12/03/09)

An Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, vacating a six foot Shade Tree and Utility Easements located in Block 237, Lots 2.01 and 2.02. (Vacation along Ridge Ave.)

Read by title only for first reading.

The above Ordinance was offered by Mr. Coles, second by Mr. Miller.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Mr. Lichtenstein, Mr. Coles, Mr. Miller, Deputy Mayor Langert and Mayor Singer.

Ordinance No. 2009-68 adopted on first reading. Second reading and public hearing to be held on December 3, 2009.

An Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, authorizing the exchange of certain lands within the Township of Lakewood, in particular, the Township of Lakewood to acquire Block 1044, Lots 5 and 8 and Block 1045, Lots 5 and 8 and to convey Block 1036, Lot 5 and Block 1038, Lot 3 as part of an exchange of lands pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:12-16.

Read by title only for first reading.

The above Ordinance was offered by Mr. Lichtenstein, second by Mr. Coles.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Mr. Lichtenstein, Mr. Coles, Mr. Miller, Deputy Mayor Langert and Mayor Singer.

Ordinance No. 2009-69 adopted on first reading. Second reading and public hearing to be held on December 3, 2009.

An Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:21-1 et seq., granting Abatement to local property taxes to Orangemen Investments II, LLC for facilities located at Block 1606, lot 3.03, and authorizing the Mayor and Township Clerk to

execute any and all documents necessary and proper to enter into a Tax Abatement Agreement. (Tax Abatement – Orangemen Investments II, LLC)

Read by title only for first reading.

The above Ordinance was offered by Mr. Coles, second by Mr. Miller.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Mr. Lichtenstein, Mr. Coles, Mr. Miller, Deputy Mayor Langert and Mayor Singer.

Mayor Singer requested that the applicant be present for second reading and public hearing.

Ordinance No. 2009-70 adopted on first reading. Second reading and public hearing to be held on December 3, 2009.

An Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, amending and supplementing Chapter XI (Traffic) of the Code of the Township of Lakewood. (Amending Parking Prohibited on Ninth St.)

Read by title only for first reading.

The above Ordinance was offered by Mr. Coles, second by Mr. Miller.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Mr. Lichtenstein, Mr. Coles, Mr. Miller, Deputy Mayor Langert and Mayor Singer.

Ordinance No. 2009-71 adopted on first reading. Second reading and public hearing to be held on December 3, 2009.

An Ordinance of the Township of Lakewood, County of Ocean, State of New Jersey, amending and supplementing Chapter XI (Traffic) of the Code of the Township of Lakewood. (Rescind – Third St. – Right turn only weekdays 9 AM to 6 PM)

Read by title only for first reading.

The above Ordinance was offered by Mr. Coles, second by Mr. Miller.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Mr. Lichtenstein, Mr. Coles, Mr. Miller, Deputy Mayor Langert and Mayor Singer.

Ordinance No. 2009-72 adopted on first reading. Second reading and public hearing to be held on December 3, 2009.

CORRESPONDENCE

Per list of two (2) correspondence items, attached hereto and made a part hereof.

Motion by Mr. Coles, second by Deputy Mayor Langert, and carried, to approve the above Correspondence.

MOTION TO APPROVE BILL LIST OF: 11/17/09

Motion by Mr. Coles, second by Mr. Miller, to approve the above Bill List.

On Roll Call – Affirmative: Mr. Lichtenstein, Mr. Coles, Mr. Miller, Deputy Mayor Langert and Mayor Singer.

Bill List approved.

COMMENTS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Mayor Singer wished everyone a happy and healthy Thanksgiving.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Deputy Mayor Langert, second by Mr. Miller, and carried, to adjourn the meeting. Meeting adjourned at 9:50 PM.